Discussion of Global Production with Export Platforms

BY FELIX TINTELNOT

OLEG ITSKHOKI Princeton University

NBER ITI Summer Institute Boston, July 2013

• Question:

- Where should firms locate production in a global economy?

• Question:

- Where should firms locate production in a global economy?

- Different from the question in HMY (2004) and IMO (2009):
 - Which firms should do FDI versus export?

• Question:

- Where should firms locate production in a global economy?

- Different from the question in HMY (2004) and IMO (2009): — Which firms should do FDI versus export?
- Closely related to ARRY (2013)

— abstract from proximity-concentration trade-off and focus on specialization between innovation and production

- This paper shuts down specialization and reintroduces proximity-concentration trade-off

• Question:

- Where should firms locate production in a global economy?

- Different from the question in HMY (2004) and IMO (2009): — Which firms should do FDI versus export?
- Closely related to ARRY (2013)

— abstract from proximity-concentration trade-off and focus on specialization between innovation and production

- This paper shuts down specialization and reintroduces proximity-concentration trade-off

• Very elegant solution to a complex problem

Setup I

• Stage 2: once set of locations Z is sunk (~ARRY)



Setup I

• Stage 2: once set of locations Z is sunk (~ARRY)



• **New**: continuum of products per firm (EK at the firm level):

$$\begin{split} \tilde{c}_{\ell m}^{i} &\sim \frac{\gamma_{i\ell} w_{\ell} \tau_{\ell m}}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\ell}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_{m}(\phi; Z) = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1} \frac{\tilde{c}_{m}^{i}(Z)}{\phi}, \\ \tilde{\mu}_{\ell m}^{i}(Z) &\sim \left(\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\ell}}{\gamma_{i\ell} w_{\ell} \tau_{\ell m}}\right)^{\theta} \quad \text{for} \quad \ell \in Z \end{split}$$

Setup II

• Stage 1: choice of production locations Z

$$Z^{i}(\eta;\phi) = \arg \max_{Z} \left\{ \sum_{m} \pi_{m}(\phi;Z) - \sum_{\ell \in Z} \eta_{\ell} w_{\ell} \right\}$$

Computationally intensive set search problem

Setup II

• Stage 1: choice of production locations Z

$$Z^{i}(\eta;\phi) = \arg \max_{Z} \left\{ \sum_{m} \pi_{m}(\phi;Z) - \sum_{\ell \in Z} \eta_{\ell} w_{\ell} \right\}$$

Computationally intensive set search problem

Assumptions:

- No endogenous entry (*cf.* ARRY)
 finite draws (~EKS, 2013) versus LLN plus fixed costs
- 2 No fixed cost of exporting
- 3 No production complementarities between firm's products
- 4 No market power of the firm

Exercises

1 Partial equilibrium location choice of German firms:

- Identification:
 - Conditional on Z, distribution of sales determines $\{\gamma_{i\ell} w_{\ell} \tau_{\ell m}\}$
 - The choice of Z identifies the distribution of $\{\eta_i w_\ell\}$
- Fit:
 - What does a good fit of location choice mean: η versus $\gamma_{i\ell}$?
 - What is the explanatory power of the model: role of $\gamma_{i\ell}$ & ϕ ?
 - Contrast with alternatives (e.g., no fixed costs)

Exercises

1 Partial equilibrium location choice of German firms:

- Identification:
 - Conditional on Z, distribution of sales determines $\{\gamma_{i\ell} w_{\ell} \tau_{\ell m}\}$
 - The choice of Z identifies the distribution of $\{\eta_i w_\ell\}$
- Fit:
 - What does a good fit of location choice mean: η versus $\gamma_{i\ell}$?
 - What is the explanatory power of the model: role of $\gamma_{i\ell}$ & ϕ ?
 - Contrast with alternatives (e.g., no fixed costs)
- 2 General equilibrium calibration of platform sales:
 - Moments:
 - expenditure share by country pair, $\xi_{\ell m}$
 - platform production, $\kappa_{i\ell}$
 - Targets: $\gamma_{i\ell}$, $\tau_{\ell m}$ and $\eta_{i\ell}$
 - What is the link between the two exercises? Are we getting different answers? Where do fixed costs have a bite?

Conclusion

- Very elegant solution to a methodological challenge
- Already provides interesting insights into conceptual issues
- I foresee a lot of fruitful applications of this methodology